

SAUCER NEWS


OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE SAUCER AND UNEXPLAINED CELESTIAL EVENTS RESEARCH SOCIETY

MAILING ADDRESS:

P. O. BOX 163, FORT LEE, N. J.

EDITOR:

JAMES W. MOSELEY



SECOND MYSTERIOUS CASE OF "GLASS PITTING" AT SAUCER NEWS HEADQUARTERS: For the second time in just under three years, the glass protecting the screen of the T.V. set in your Editor's apartment has shattered mysteriously into thousands of tiny cracks, as shown in the pictures above. The photo at the left is reprinted from our April-May 1956 issue, which went to press shortly after the phenomenon took place the first time. Now again, on Jan. 16th of this year, an identical incident occurred, as shown in the photo on the right. In each case, the Editor was alone in the apartment, and in each case the explosion shattered tiny pieces of glass with such force that some fell more than three feet in front of the set. (SAUCER NEWS staff photos.)

CONTENTS OF THIS ISSUE

Editorial Notes.....	Page 2
Letters to the Editor.....	Page 5
Recent News Stories.....	Page 14

FEATURE ARTICLES:

Screwing the Lid Down on "Doctor" Williamson - by James W. Moseley and Michael G. Mann.....	Page 3
The Nature of the UFO Mystery - by Thomas M. Comella.....	Page 6
Do Flying Saucers Come from Mars? - by Justin Case.....	Page 8
ECM + CIA = UFO, or How to Cause a Radar Sighting - by Dr. Leon Davidson.....	Page 10

EDITORIAL NOTES

SAUCER NEWS TO BEGIN QUARTERLY PUBLICATION: This will be the last bi-monthly issue of SAUCER NEWS. Beginning with the next issue, (dated June, 1959), we will follow a quarterly publication schedule. This means that subscribers who paid \$2.00 for six issues will receive these issues over a period of 18 months rather than one year. In other respects the magazine will remain basically the same.

The reason for the change in publication schedule is quite simple. SAUCER NEWS is, after all, a hobby - and a money-losing one at that. Your Editor's regular business has been taking up an increasing amount of his time during the past two years, and it has become increasingly difficult to meet six deadlines every year. Reducing the number of deadlines to four will make our work somewhat easier, and we are hoping that the total amount of material we publish each year will remain the same. In other words, the average size of the issues will be larger from now on, if all goes well.

Contrary to rumors, we have no intention of folding up. SAUCER NEWS still intends to be around "till the last cup and saucer". It would help, however, if more of our readers got their subscription renewals in to us promptly. Renewals, particularly in regard to the non-scheduled Confidential Newsletter, have been lagging somewhat lately, and this makes the financial burden harder on us. Please watch for the star (*) after your name on the envelope, which indicates your subscription has expired. If our subscribers will cooperate in this way, the road will be paved toward making SAUCER NEWS bigger and better than ever.

As always, your suggestions and comments are invited. Most issues contain "Letters to the Editor" columns, in which we print important letters sent in by our readers.

WE ARE SHORT of SAUCER NEWS Issue #16 (February-March, 1956) and Newsletter #1 (December, 1955). We are willing to pay \$1.00 cash to anyone who will send us either of these items, in good condition; or we will exchange any three recent back issues for either of these items, if preferred.

FOR SALE: Recently we acquired a few more copies of Maria Reiche's booklet about the ancient and little-known mysterious lines on the desert near Nasca, Peru. We have made these booklets available to SAUCER NEWS readers from time to time ever since our own article about the Nasca Lines appeared in the April 1955 issue of SAUCER NEWS. The price is \$1.50 per copy, and as the demand has thus far always exceeded the supply, we suggest that you write promptly. Those who write in after the supply is exhausted will have their money returned....We also have two copies each of ten recent issues of "Uranus", England's outstanding saucer magazine. Available are Vol. 3, No. 4 and 5; Vol. 4, No. 1 through 6; Vol. 5, No. 1 and 2. These will be sold for \$3.00 per set to the first two persons who send in for them....Still available are a few copies of the Air Force Project Blue Book Special Report #14. This has a hard cover, and an introduction by Dr. Leon Davidson. Price: \$1.00 per copy. Also on hand are SAUCER NEWS back issues #2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, and 17 through 34, at a cost of \$1.00 for any three. Issue #1 (July, 1954) and #27 (the Special Adamski Expose Issue) sell for \$1.00 each.

SAUCER NEWS is published quarterly by the Saucer and Unexplained Celestial Events Research Society (S.A.U.C.E.R.S.) - Editor: James W. Moseley; Managing Editor: John Marana; Executive Editor: Y. N. ibn A'haron; Overseas Editor: Bryan Essenhig; Mental Health Consultant: Aaron Auerbach; Associate Editors: Richard Cohen, Fred Broman, and Steve Levendakes.

SCREWING THE LID DOWN ON "DOCTOR" WILLIAMSON

- by James W. Moseley and Michael G. Mann -

(Editor's Note: Mr. Mann is Director of the International Federation of Saucer Clubs. Mailing address: P.O. Box 1538, G.P.O., New York 1, N.Y.)

George Hunt Williamson, who first came to our attention in 1953 as one of the witnesses to George Adamski's notorious "desert contact", has since broadened the scope of his endeavors. Recently returned from a trip to England during which he met Queen Elizabeth, he is currently lecturing in Southern California. The disquieting thing about Williamson's present activities is that he is being described more and more frequently in the press as "professor" and "doctor", and as an "anthropologist" and "archaeologist". The latter titles are apparently a result of his travels in Peru, where he visited several important archaeological sites, but made no actual discoveries to the best of our knowledge.

The title that intrigued us most, however, was that of "doctor". We have Williamson's two best-known books, "The Saucers Speak" (1954) and "Other Tongues, Other Flesh" (dated 1953, actually published in 1957), both of which have been reviewed (unfavorably) in past issues of SAUCER NEWS. On the jacket of "The Saucers Speak", the description of the author states that "for his ethnological research among the Chippewa Indians of Minnesota he was awarded an honorary degree in science"; but there is nothing said as to when or where the degree was received. In the more recent "Other Tongues, Other Flesh", no mention is made of this alleged degree, though both books state that Williamson is listed in various editions of "Who's Who in America".



"Doctor" Williamson

We therefore consulted Volume 29 of "Who's Who in America", and found the following description of Williamson: "Williamson G. Hunt - Anthropologist, lecturer, author; Born in Chicago Ill. Dec. 9, 1926; Son of George L. and Burnice (Hunt) W; Student Cornell Coll. 1945-46; U. Denver, 1947; Eastern N.M. U., 1947-48; A.B., U. of Ariz., 1951; Sc.D., No. U., 1952; M. Betty Jane Hettler Sept. 1949; 1 son, Mark Fredrick; Dir. of Indian lore Lake Hubert Camps 1950-51; Prodn. mgr. Inter-tribal Indian Ceremonials, 1951; Dir. of arts and crafts bd. Standing Rock Indian Reservation, 1952; Assoc. Editor Valor Mag; Dir. of Soulcraft research labs, Noblesville Ind; Splty N. Am. Indian dancing, religion, dress and ornamentation. Recipient Silver Award for Indian dancing Inter-tribal Indian Ceremonials, 1951; Member AAAS, Soc. Am. Archaeology, Am. Anthropol. Assn., Arizona Archaeol. and Hist. Soc., Ill. State Archaeol. Soc. (Gold Key, 1948), Sons of the Highlands; Republican; Episcopalian; Author: The Hopi and Zuni Indians, 1950; The Saucers Speak, 1953; Other Tongues, Other Flesh, 1954....Office: P.O. Box 192, Noblesville, Ind."

We have left the abbreviations exactly as they appear in "Who's Who", even though in at least one important case they cause confusion. In the key item, "Sc.D., No. U., 1952", there has been confusion among researchers as to whether this alleged Doctor of Science degree was supposedly received from Northern University or from Northwestern University. The obvious interpretation would be Northern University, though it turns out that there is no such insti-

tution, according to the information we quote below. There is a Northwestern University in San Francisco, but no evidence that Williamson ever attended it. Furthermore, due to Williamson's record at the University of Arizona, it is highly unlikely he ever received a Sc.D. degree anywhere, honorary or otherwise.

We now quote from two letters that were received from the University of Arizona by a person who wishes to remain anonymous. The letters were passed on to us for use in SAUCER NEWS. The important parts of the letters are given in full, except for the recipient's name, and two phrases in the second letter which might be construed as libelous, and therefore have been blanked out.

"August 25, 1958

Dear -----:

There is sufficient discrepancy between certain items contained in "Who's Who in America" relating to George Hunt Williamson and the facts as we have them on record here, to warrant further investigation, which we propose doing.

According to our transcripts from those schools, Mr. Williamson did attend Cornell College, at Mt. Vernon, Iowa, from January to May, 1945; Eastern New Mexico College (Portales) from September, 1947, to January, 1949; and the University of Arizona from June, 1949, to January, 1951. On February 9, 1951, Mr. Williamson was disqualified from the University for poor scholarship. This action prohibits the student from registering during the following semester, after which he is eligible to register once again on probation, but Mr. Williamson did not return thereafter to this University.

We have no record of his having been granted any bachelor's degree from Eastern New Mexico. As a matter of fact, the listing in "Who's Who" indicates that he was awarded the B.A. degree from our institution in 1951, which is not true. The "Who's Who" listing further indicates a Sc.D. degree as having been awarded by Northwestern University in 1952, an item we intend to check with Northwestern, since it would be manifestly impossible for such an honorary degree, based on valuable experience, achievement, and contribution to some field of endeavor, to be awarded only one year following a bachelor's degree - especially when the scholarship and degree are both so dubious. The Sc.D., or Doctor of Science, degree, is an honorary degree granted by institutions to eminent persons whose achievements they desire to honor....

Sincerely yours,

Miss Margaret Husted, Assistant to the Registrar"

"October 20, 1958

Dear -----:

Miss Husted of this office has referred me to your two most recent letters concerning George Hunt Williamson.

This case becomes more puzzling by the day. We have been informed that the listing in "Who's Who in America" for Mr. Williamson which refers to a Doctor of Science degree awarded in 1952 by "No. U." refers to "Northern University" rather than Northwestern. However, there is no institution in existence that we can locate known as Northern University.

I believe Miss Husted earlier informed you that, rather than being a graduate of the University of Arizona as he claims in "Who's Who in America," Mr. Williamson was disqualified from this institution because of poor scholarship.

I will be frank with you and say that Mr. Williamson is remembered on this campus by a number of persons who describe him as a ****, ****, etc. Dr. Emil Hauray, Head of our Department of Anthropology, found quite a few

other remarks in the "Who's Who in America" listing which are either inaccurate or misleading....

Sincerely yours,

David L. Windsor, Registrar and Director of Admissions"

Here at SAUCER NEWS, our only interest in this matter is to set the record straight. This is a free country, and anyone is at liberty to lecture about mythical archaeological discoveries if they so desire. But by using non-existent degrees such as "doctor," a person gives prestige, respectability, and seeming authenticity to his claims, which they do not deserve. If Williamson intends to go on lecturing, it would be a good thing if he were forced to admit publicly that his theories and pronouncements are not bolstered by the scientific background and education he currently claims to have.

.....
LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

I would like to compliment you on the definite improvement in SAUCER NEWS. Not only is there more material, much better organized, but the general tone of the publication has gone up, with more argument and less bickering....
 E. R. LEE, Minneapolis, Minn.

I think your magazine one of the nicest of the saucer magazines. The articles are always worth reading, and the hysteria of "contacts" and "messages" is always clearly exposed.

In our latest issue of "Disc Digest", we have included the principal part of the article "Saucers and the I.G.Y.", from your June-July 1958 issue. We sent you a copy for your kind attention. We hope that you can understand the Dutch language, though we fear this is not so. In the spring of 1959 we shall publish an English edition of our magazine. We shall not forget to send you a copy.
 N. JON. KEIKENMEESTER, The Hague, Holland

Congratulations once again to you and Mr. Marana for putting out a substantial magazine on schedule.....I'm surprised, though, that you felt it necessary to print David Wightman's criticism of Justin Case's article "How Much Like Us?", since Mr. Wightman is criticizing under a misapprehension. He thinks that the talk Willy Ley gave to Civilian Saucer Intelligence of New York was an abbreviated version of the longer article in "This Week" Magazine, which is wrong on just the essential point: In his talk for us, Willy Ley was mindful to make it plain that he would not expect extraterrestrials to look just like us. And this was just what he had failed to make clear in his "This Week" piece, giving the contact believers an opportunity to hail him as a supporter of their mythology. Indeed, our chief reason for seeking Mr. Ley as a speaker was to enable him to put on record this important correction to the impression given by the "This Week" article....Justin Case's critique of Ley's original piece was quite justified, though it has been outdated now that Ley has restated his views in more unexceptionable terms.

We appreciate Richard Cohen's long and favorable review of Michel, but of course you can't satisfy an author. I would grumble slightly that Mr. Cohen might have noticed the importance of our statistical researches, which furnish a preliminary answer to the fundamental question of whether the alignments are, or are not, merely accidental. It is not sufficient to find "orthotenic" alignments; it is essential to show that they are clearly distinguishable from the "pseudo-orthotenic" alignments that will always turn up accidentally in any set of points. We attempted to set up criteria for making that essential distinction....ALEXANDER D. MEBANE, New York, N.Y.

THE NATURE OF THE UFO MYSTERY

- by Thomas M. Comella -

(Editor's Note: Mr. Comella is the former editor of "The Flying Saucer News Service Research Bulletin". He is also a former member of George Williamson's Telonic Research Center. His last article for SAUCER NEWS appeared in our April-May 1957 issue.)

A great change is taking place in UFO research. Those investigators who used to believe that the flying saucers are real, are now not so sure. This existing attitude has brought serious research to a virtual standstill, because everyone is asking, "What are we investigating, anyway?" For almost twelve years, the problem of the UFO has been attacked, and the solution still eludes us. Hidden within this failure is a lesson for the human race, a lesson that must be learned if man is to progress in the truthful knowledge of the Universe. This lesson is in two parts, one a corollary of the other, and it is of such fundamental importance that it might provide us with the very key to the UFO mystery.

Man is a rational being. That is, his mind operates logically, or according to the process of reasoning. That which is not logical is, by definition, chaotic and not meaningful, for logic is the human being's index for intelligence. For centuries Man has searched for the secrets of the Universe, and out of this search has come the science and technology of today. However, all science and technology is based upon one assumption - that the Universe is not chaotic or unmeaningful; that it is logical and can be understood by the human mind. This is the crux of the UFO problem as well as of untold other mysteries.

When Man attacks a problem, he proceeds logically and his solution conforms with the facts that his mind perceives. For years this method has yielded very practical results. Once in awhile, though, Man is confronted with a situation that is different. The UFO problem is such a situation. For ten years, Man has applied logic to the UFO and he has failed miserably to resolve the mystery. In 1947, after the initial shock had worn off, it was believed that Man's proven formula would solve the UFO saga. All that was needed was time, money, and the human mind. Man's inability to cope with this problem has been neatly covered up, I believe, by the very workings of his mind. He has rationalized that because his problem-solving formula failed, there wasn't any mystery to begin with. In other words, if our logical approach finds nothing, there must be nothing there.

But there is a fallacy here, and a very prominent one! For the UFO is not a logical quantity. It has been proven time and time again! These strange objects show us no pattern, no reasonable conformity to what we know as intelligence, and no purpose. We have applied logic to an illogical quantity. This is like trying to force a square peg into a round hole; It simply will not work. But just because it will not work, there is no reason to declare that the round hole is nonexistent. We must find the round peg before we can solve the UFO mystery.

Consider for a moment a race of beings from some planet in the depths of space - a race that, by our standards, is almost completely undetectable, because its actions, possessions, and purposes are unintelligible to us. We could no more communicate with such a race than we could converse with a piece of stone. If such a situation as this presented itself, would there be any hope of resolving it? Could Man, by any stretch of the imagination, resolve such a problem? Could he ever overcome the logic and ego that bind him, and "see" the reality present? The chances of such a success would be very minute indeed, and would involve a fantastic trek down the trail of the incredible.

By now you are undoubtedly wondering, just what is Comella's purpose in examining this problem? Is he trying to tell us that the UFO mystery is unsolvable? To these questions, I will say that if Man is to gain the truthful knowledge of the Universe, he must view nature as it is; for to impose the logic of Man upon the Universe is to change nature according to Man's understanding. I will say that Man has developed his logical ways because his ego has not allowed for the possibility that he is not supreme throughout the Cosmos. I will say that because twelve years of research have failed to resolve the UFO mystery, there is no reason to state dogmatically that no problem ever existed. For I have given you another possibility - one that our egos might be able to rationalize, but one that our minds won't be able to exclude. I say that the above situation might be embodied in the UFO saga. Now, tell me, who is free enough to solve it?

With these words of challenge, I am terminating my investigations into the UFO mystery. Yes, this is Comella's swansong. I hope that this last article of mine has glimpsed for you a truth that will propel you to accept my challenge.

To quiet the sensationalists, let me say that three men in black suits, driving black Eldorado Cadillacs, have not shut me up; for I do not leave UFO research reluctantly; I leave it by choice. Some will say that I am turning my back on the subject because I have seemingly sighted an unsolvable paradox in the UFO mystery. To these people I can only say: Those who know me well, know that I never quit until I've won. Still others will claim that my interest is gone. This is short and simple, but not true.

The friends I have made while trailing the UFO have been lasting friends. We have disagreed more than we have agreed, but I know that all of us are willing to fight for our right to disagree or agree. We have stumbled after the UFO in a most unorganized fashion and we have failed. I have tried to provide us with a perspective of the problem that is new and accurate. And it is with the hope that the end of my efforts will bring life to what I have fought for, that I pass from the UFO scene.

To the readers of this and other saucerzines, may I say that you are the foundation of UFO research. Like the citizens of a great city, your ideals and discriminations will decide whether sincere research goes on, or comes to a halt for



"Pardon me sir, are you a geologist?"
 "Heck no, Mac, I'm a spaceman!"

good. To those of you who have claimed contacts with outer space and have lied, let me say that it's too bad you couldn't have made a worthwhile contribution. To those of you who have claimed contacts and have told the truth, I am sorry for you and for me. I am sorry for you because in your experience you have glimpsed reality but have failed to prove it. I am sorry for me because I was unable to see the truth in what you said and hence missed that reality. But it is these isolated pieces of reality that add up to the paradox of the UFO mystery.

To the United States Air Force, I am bound to offer you my apologies, for we have misinterpreted your disorganization and inability to understand the illogical, for a conspiracy against us. To the so-called International Bankers, I can only say that we have surely camouflaged you all the more in our rampage to discover you. To the "Mister X" who enjoys writing hoax letters: You can be sure that I know who you are, and may my silence charge you with a responsibility to reverse the trend of your endeavors. And to all you story tellers and gossipers, let me say that you have done a fine job of avoiding the truth. If you finally get tired of your babblings and seek elsewhere for your thrills, then the cartoon of the UFO will become real research. To you who have found the UFO a fitting subject to make money on, I have only one thing to say: You are shutting out the truth completely when you compromise with sincerity.

To you who want to believe in UFO, search for a justification of your belief. To those who have closed minds to the unusual - ask yourself what you are after - the truth, or what you merely want to be the truth. To those who are honestly curious - temper your imagination with sincerity and you shall know the secret of the saucers.

DO FLYING SAUCERS COME FROM MARS?

- by Justin Case -

For the answer to this very interesting question, let us first review what is known about this neighbor of ours: We know more about Mars than about any other planet except our own. This is because when Mars comes closest to us, as it does every $25\frac{1}{2}$ months, we observe it with our backs to the sun, which is the most favorable condition for observation. Mars is about $\frac{1}{2}$ the diameter of our Earth, and its gravity is only about $\frac{1}{3}$ rd. Because of this low gravity, it has lost most of its atmosphere during the past, so that now its air is very thin. There are no signs of any oceans, seas, or even lakes on Mars. The only evidence of any water consists of the white frost caps that form the polar regions, and it is believed that these caps are only a few inches deep. Clouds that appear on Mars are believed to be dust, not water vapor. The surface is mostly flat and arid like our desert regions. Mars receives only 40% as much heat from the sun as we do. Consequently it is colder than the coldest areas on Earth.

In 1954 Prof. Slipher of Lowell Observatory took some 20,000 photographs of Mars. He concluded that the changes in color that take place on its surface are due to some very primitive form of vegetation, such as grows on our mountain tops. He also verified the existence of "canals" and found that one of them runs 1,500 miles, perfectly straight, without any bends. He thinks they are lines of vegetation along water courses. Mars is a dying planet - an example of what our Earth will look like in the far distant future.

Next let us review some other information that has a bearing on the question we are seeking to answer. We start out by noting that the conditions required for life to exist are so very special that life is the exception, not the rule, in the starry Universe. It would take too long to go into

details on this point, so the interested reader is referred to the excellent book "Life on Other Worlds" by H. Spencer Jones. All forms of life on our planet, including vegetable and animal, on land, in the water, and in the air, consist mostly of the chemical elements carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen. There is good scientific reason to believe that all forms of life elsewhere in the Universe may be different from those on Earth, but they must also consist chiefly of carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen. Consequently, sufficient quantities of these three chemical elements must exist in a readily available form in order that any form of life may develop.

Furthermore, life can exist without being intelligent, e.g., vegetable life. Intelligent life can exist without producing enough scientific development for space flight. Examples of this are found on our own Earth. In our cold polar regions the living is so very difficult that the Eskimos have no time and energy left for scientific development. In our hot, tropical regions, the living is so easy that the natives are lazy and unambitious. They have no inducement for scientific development, and our most backward and ignorant races are to be found in the tropics. It is only in the temperate zones, where living is neither too difficult nor too easy, that people have developed the highest civilizations, and are now on the threshold of space travel. Therefore it is evident that a very special set of conditions have to exist for intelligent life to develop enough scientific advancement for space flight.

We are now ready to consider the question - do flying saucers come from Mars? It appears that the elements for life, namely carbon, oxygen and hydrogen, are very scarce on Mars. There is very little water (which contains oxygen and hydrogen) on land or in the air, and the oxygen content of the air is pitifully small - only 1/1000 as much as on Earth. The extensive arid, dusty deserts and the very cold climate are unfavorable for life, either vegetable or animal. Nevertheless the 1,500-mile-long "canal" observed by Prof. Slipher is an indication that intelligent life of some sort might have existed, or perhaps still exists on Mars.

It might be contended that all these very unfavorable conditions would be the best reason why Martians would want to leave their dying planet, and migrate to a more hospitable place, like Earth. However, we have to remember that these unfavorable conditions did not develop just recently. They were taking place slowly and remorselessly over many thousands of years. If the Martians were intelligent, they should have realized this many thousands of years ago. If they had the ability, this knowledge should have stimulated them to develop space travel as the only means of self-preservation. But if they did not have the knowledge or the ability or the desire at that time, it is now much too late. The living must be so difficult now that whatever Martians are left must have to spend all their time and energy just barely keeping alive. They have no time for scientific development, even less time than the Eskimos on our own Earth.

Although we know more about Mars than about any other neighboring planet, we still know relatively little about it. Also, we are not entirely sure that the little we know is right. Nevertheless the best scientific information available to us clearly shows the improbability of life existing on Mars at the present time that is intelligent enough and that has the time and energy to develop the means of visiting us. Therefore, if flying saucers are intelligent visitors from space, it is very unlikely that they originate from Mars. However, we will know definitely only when at some date in the future our own space ships visit Mars and bring back the news of their surveys. At the present rate of progress in space flight, this should take place in about ten years.

ECM + CIA = UFO

orHow to Cause a Radar Sighting
- by Dr. Leon Davidson -

Introduction: The title of this article gives a basic equation which seems to have worked successfully in creating "authentic" radar sightings of flying saucers. Three well-publicized radar cases, representing examples of this technique, are the Washington Airport case, the "invisible" saucers over England, and the Gulf of Mexico case. These will be discussed below, with references to books in which full descriptions of the sightings are given. The terms of the equation are defined as follows:

ECM = Electronic Countermeasures

CIA = Central Intelligence Agency

UFO = Unidentified Flying Objects

The first two terms will be discussed and explained below.

Electronic Countermeasures: By 1945, mechanical countermeasures against radar had become publicly known. Aluminum foil strips, dropped from planes, reflect radar waves and clutter up the enemy's radar screens. Further developments led to electronic countermeasures (ECM). A "black box" in our bombers would pick up the enemy's radar impulses, amplify and modify them, and send them back, drowning out the normal radar return from the bomber. The modification could be a change in timing or phase, and could cause the "blip" on the radar screen to have an incorrect range, speed, or heading.

By about 1950, ECM was standard equipment on our advanced bombers, and was being developed for missiles. Advertisements started to appear about 1956, showing that this equipment could be used for creating simulated targets for training radar operators. I quote from an article in "Aviation Research and Development", March 1957, page 22: "A new radar moving target simulator system, which generates a display of up to six individual moving targets on any standard radar indicator, has been developed.....to train radar operatorsand for in-flight training of airborne early-warning personnel....Target positions, paths, and velocities can....simulate....realistic flight paths.... Speeds up to 10,000 knots (about 11,500 mph) are easily generated.....The target can be made to turn left or right....For each target there is...adjustment to provide a realistic scope presentation."

The reader should keep this quotation in mind when reading about radar sightings of high-speed UFO's.

The Central Intelligence Agency: The CIA is the chief foreign intelligence arm of the United States, and is the successor to the O.S.S., our World War II "cloak and dagger" agency. The mission of the CIA includes waging psychological warfare. Neither the public, the Congress, nor many people in the Government, know how the CIA spends its funds, probably running over \$100,000,000 per year. Its working personnel never identify themselves publicly. It doesn't publicize its office locations, its activities, or its contact men. The CIA's policies are guided by the "Operations Coordination Board", which consists of the White House Special Assistant for National Security (formerly Robert Cutler), the Under Secretary of State, and the Deputy Secretary of Defense (now Donald A. Quarles).

The Director of the CIA in its early days was Admiral Hillenkoetter (now retired, and high in NICAP circles). Since about 1950, the CIA Director has been Allen W. Dulles, a Wall Street lawyer (48 Wall St., to be exact), who is a younger brother of John F. Dulles, our Secretary of State.

The role of the CIA in the flying saucer field is becoming increasingly well known, as the following references show: Stringfield, "Inside Saucer Post 3-0 Blue", page 42; SAUCER NEWS, February-March 1958, pages 7-14; Palmer, "Flying Saucers", Feb. 1959, editorial; "Fate", Feb. 1959, page 57; NICAP, "The UFO Investigator", No. 4, June 1958, page 4.

Meaning of the Equation ECM + CIA = UFO: I contend that the CIA, since 1951, has caused or sponsored saucer sightings for its own purposes. By shrewd psychological manipulation, a series of "normal" events has been served up so as to appear as quite convincing evidence of extraterrestrial UFO's. Some of this "normal" activity includes military use of ECM on a classified basis, unknown to the radar observers who were involved, leading to the radar reports discussed in this article.

By examining the references given, the reader will see that these three cases are treated as good sightings by competent reliable observers. The general attitude of saucer researchers toward these cases is somewhat as follows: These cases show that saucers are real solid objects, giving genuine radar returns (Michel, pp. 83-89), traveling at speeds up to 9,000 mph (FSFOS, pp. 161-165). These objects can maneuver remarkably well (FSC, p. 217) and perform beyond the capability of present Earthmade devices (FSFOS, p. 166). These radar blips are not due to temperature inversions (FSFOS, pp. 101-103, and Michel, p. 87). In some cases there are simultaneous radar and visual sightings, so the objects cannot be merely radar tricks (FSFOS, p. 64).

I will now endeavor to show that the attitude expressed above is unfounded, if the existence of ECM equipment can be postulated.

The "Invisible" Saucers: The "classic" radar sighting, in its purest form, is the case of the "Invisible" Saucers, given in Chapter 15 of Keyhoe's "Flying Saucer Conspiracy!" (FSC, pp. 217-221). A brief abstract follows: "In late September (1952) as UFO sightings were increasing all over Europe.... a strange U-formation had appeared on a civilian radarscope (in England). The formation....of 40 to 50 UFO's....changed with a precise movement from a U-formation to two parallel lines....and (then) reformed in a perfect Z...Cloudy skies had hidden them....but the third appearance came on a bright clear dayat noon....But this time....the UFO formation was invisible to the human eye (just as on the two previous days)...Four more times the mystery formation returned at noon....For over a month this story was kept from the public.... The War Office spokesman said he had been given top-level orders to 'maintain the utmost secrecy'...."

Keyhoe's Washington contact, "Paul Redell", says of this case (see p. 219, FSC): "Yes, I know about it, and I'm pretty sure it's happened here too....I believe that the answer is tied in with some highly classified research I've heard about....There's one lead I can give you....The Nansei-Shoto thing is exactly the same as what happened over England. Some day when the lid is lifted, I'll explain it." (The Nansei-Shoto affair is discussed on pages 221-224 of FSC, and is also mentioned in the following section of this article.)

Note that there is no claim of visual confirmation in this English case, as reported by Keyhoe. A test or demonstration, by military authorities, of ECM in England, could have accounted for this case and for Redell's remarks.

The Washington Airport Sightings and the Official Explanations: An important and well known case is the Washington National Airport radar sightings of July 19th and July 26th, 1952. I have no space to quote the case here, but it is well written up in Ruppelt (pp. 209-228), Michel (pp. 84-89), and Keyhoe (FSFOS, pp. 62-70).

At a press conference at the Pentagon, July 29th, 1952, General Samford, Director of Air Force Intelligence, answered questions about these radar sightings. The New York Times front page story of July 30th, 1952, in quoting from Samford's statements, contains the following "official" viewpoints: "We are learning more and more about radar...Radar is capable of playing tricks for which it was not designed....These instances were good observations of something, but not good observations of what radar was designed to observe." (Naturally, the General did not mention ECM because this was a classified subject at the time, but it seems obvious that this is what he was guardedly referring to.)


The General also said that an experienced radar operator, assigned to define saucers on the radar screen, was subject to curiosity stimuli that would result in overemphasis. He said that he was satisfied that none of the saucer "sightings" represented the flight of any material object. The New York Times news summary for that day also stated: "The pickup of the aerial objects on radar screens was of 'radar ghosts' and not actual things."

Along this line, a Washington Post article by John G. Norris, July 29th, 1952, stated: "A high Navy officer suggested that they were a revisitation of the 'ghosts of Nansei-Shoto'. He referred to the rash of spots which appeared on radar screens....in 1945....never fully explained....believed generally to have been caused by....'electronic noise' from the many radar sets in the area." (It may be surmised that the Nansei-Shoto affair was an accident, due to the proximity of many Naval radar sets, which led to the realization of the possibility of ECM.)

The Gulf of Mexico Sighting: Space permits only a brief discussion of the Gulf of Mexico case of December 6th, 1952, one of the mainstays of Keyhoe's theories. (FSFOS, pp. 161-166) The case involved a radar training plane, returning from a night's practice. Suddenly radar blips apparently going 5,000 to 9,000 mph were seen. Although this case is supposed to contain visual confirmation, I quote from p. 165: "The closest the objects came was approximately 20 miles....Flashes of light were noted....I saw a blue-white streak travel front to rear...." Since almost anything seen from a plane will seem to travel from front to rear, even whips of clouds or particles from the exhaust, this

is not conclusive visual confirmation of a flying saucer.

Unknown to the airmen on the plane, this incident could have been due to ECM operations, either for the purposes of training the crew, or for demonstrating ECM's capabilities to those high officials who would later get to see the "eyewitness" reports made out by the crew. In any case, it also provided a good radar



sighting for the saucer files. On p. 159, Keyhoe states that this case was especially given to him as one which supported his interplanetary mother-ship theory.

I conclude with Keyhoe's words from p. 165: "Of all the official reports I'd seen, this was the most astonishing. That it had been released to me seemed to mean only one thing. Clearly, Intelligence....("the CIA??").... wanted the public to see this conclusive proof that the saucers were interplanetary machines."

What Really Happened in these Radar Cases?: I do not claim that all radar sightings of flying saucers are caused by the use of ECM equipment. However, I believe that the radar sightings discussed in this article had no connection with real objects capable of speeds of thousands of miles per hour. Instead, I maintain, these particular reports were due either to accidental or purposeful generation of signals in the radar sets, from special ECM equipment in the vicinity. The ECM operations would have been conducted under normal secrecy rules befitting their novel military character. The ECM personnel may not even have realized that their operations would give rise to these UFO reports. The reports of the radar personnel show that they were not aware of any ECM possibilities, and were genuinely "taken in" by the display.

Such proceedings would seem normal, honest, and perfectly proper in the name of military research and development. But I think we must draw the line at the next step, misleading publicity. I believe that the CIA "promoted" publicity on these sightings, at least the American cases, for the purpose of fostering stories about the "interplanetary" nature of flying saucers. Shrewd manipulation of normal military moves, through top level contacts, enabled the CIA, without any extensive staff of operations, to perform certain necessary feats. A few examples are given below.

(1) The jet interceptors which guard Washington were moved from Andrews Air Force Base (4 miles away) to New Castle, Delaware (90 miles away) to "permit runway repairs" during the month of the sightings in Washington. This accounted for the delays and lack of sufficient interceptors. With the jets based at Andrews AFB, an ECM hoax could not have been carried off.

(2) Ruppelt was prevented from traveling around Washington to collect facts from eyewitnesses. He states how he was unable to get taxi fare or a staff car on July 21st (Ruppelt, pp. 216-217). And on July 29th, he says (p. 221): "Major Fournet and I spent the entire morning 'just leaving' for somewhere to investigate 'something'. Every time we would start to leave, something more pressing would come up." A reason for the CIA to have kept him immobile appears on p. 224: "...Had someone gone out and made a more thorough investigation....(it) would have taken some of the (interest) out of the two (radar) reports. It took me a year to put....together...., but it could have been collected in a day of concentrated effort!" He then quotes some data which cast doubt on the validity of the visual confirmations of the Washington radar sightings.

Conclusion: It should be noted that the Washington sightings were predicted in advance to Ruppelt, privately, by a CIA scientist, a few days before they took place. (Ruppelt, pp. 209-210). This is quite consistent with the contention that the CIA "sponsored" the sightings.

Those who find it hard to believe that a government agency would participate in such trickery should read the article in Life Magazine, Jan. 5, 1950, pp. 20-31, "How Insiders Kept Their Great Secret". Note particularly the sentence in the fourth paragraph: "A group of Signal Corps trailers....was brought under false orders at night...with the connivance of a cooperative but

SAUCER BRIEFS: George Adamski's latest claim is to have been carried by saucer between two lecture engagements in the middle west. According to the tale, he was about to board a train from Kansas City, Missouri, to Davenport, Iowa, when his space friends came along and picked him up by saucer. He did not save the expense of the train trip, however, and still has his unused ticket to prove the truth of the story. He spent eight hours aboard a mother ship on his way to Davenport, and then arrived safe and sound in time for his scheduled appearance.....On January 9th, a saucerer named Douglas Hancock was placed under observation in the psychiatric ward of St. Albans Naval Hospital on Long Island. Mr. Hancock was a friend and follower of Prince Neosom, a gentleman who claims to be from a planet $8\frac{1}{2}$ light years away from Earth. The Prince, who is said to be in reality a baker by profession, is still at large, and living in Detroit....

Your Editor's latest appearance on the Long John Party Line radio program was on the morning of January 25th. Also present was Gray Barker of the "Saucerian", and others.....Speaking of Barker, a news story from his home town of Clarksburg, W. Va., dated January 17th, had this to say: "An author capitalizing on the modern 'flying saucer' craze entertained members of the Clarksburg Lions Club Friday noon at the Waldo Hotel...Admitting his principal interest in the weird field is promoted by the fact that he is a writer and author of a book and magazine articles resulting in profit, Barker gave the Lions his factual impressions of flying saucers." (Italics ours.).....A public meeting of Civilian Saucer Intelligence of New York will be held on March 27th at the Hotel Diplomat in New York City. Speakers will include Major Donald Keyhoe and Long John Nebel, of Party Line fame. Inquiries about tickets should be made to Marilyn Shaw, 19 Cherrywood Lane, Port Washington, Long Island.... The Great Lakes Unidentified Flying Objects Association announced last January that they are changing the name of the organization to the Great Lakes Identified Flying Objects Association, due to the fact that they believe they have identified the source of UFO's. Norman Jack Maynard, head of the 250-member group, said that his organization is now convinced that flying saucers come from Mars.

EGG-SHAPED SAUCER SEEN IN BALTIMORE: One of the best sightings of recent date occurred on October 26th (1958), when two Baltimore men named Alvin Cohen and Phillip Small made a very close observation of a huge egg-shaped UFO. They were driving near the edge of town at about 10-30 that night, approaching a bridge, when they saw an egg-shaped object 100 feet long and 70 feet thick in its largest diameter. It glowed a dim, translucent white. They thought at first that it might be a Navy blimp, but upon coming closer to the bridge, they noticed that the thing bore no insignia, stabilizer, fins, or other external marks or protrusions of any kind. At a distance of about 300 feet from the object, the lights and motor stopped on their car. The automobile's entire electric circuit was apparently knocked out. Frightened, they jumped out of the car and crouched with the car between them and the UFO. They watched it in this manner for 30 seconds, during which time it grew brighter. Rays of heat from it smote their faces. Then, with a loud noise "like a thunderclap", it shot up and disappeared within 10 seconds. - As usual, police could find no definite evidence to confirm or deny the story, though they admitted that both men were sober and plenty scared when they made their report.

SAUCER FOLLOWS TRAIN IN INDIANA: Last October 3rd, five members of the crew of a freight train on the Monon railroad in Clinton County, Indiana, watched four UFO's pace the train for over an hour shortly before daylight. The objects glowed white at high speeds and red-orange at lower speeds. They

dipped low over the train and maneuvered in formation. The saucers stayed with the train for 40 miles before disappearing. The conductor shone a powerful flashlight at one of them at one point, and it hurriedly flew away, only to return a few minutes later. The conductor stated that the UFO's flew at tree-top level part of the time, and could best be seen then, although their exact shape was hard to determine, because they glowed so brightly in the dark. He added that the objects appeared to be about 40 feet in diameter and 8 to 10 feet thick. After maneuvering around the train for an hour and ten minutes, they moved off together to the northwest and went out of sight.

EARLESS MONSTER IN CALIFORNIA: Charles Wetzel of Bloomington, California, claims that a monster jumped out in front of his car as he drove along a street near nearby Riverside. Said he: "It had a round, scare-crowish head, like something out of Halloween. It wasn't human. It had longer arms than anything I've ever seen. When it saw me in the car it reached all the way back to the windshield and began clawing at me. It didn't have any ears. The face was all round. The eyes were shining like something fluorescent and it had a protuberant mouth." Wetzel said he became terrified when the creature reached over the hood of his car and began clawing at the windshield. He reached for a .22 pistol in the glove compartment. "I held that pistol and stomped on the gas," he said. "The thing fell back from the car and made a gurgling sound. The noise it made didn't sound human. I think I hit it. I heard something hit the pan under the car." - Police were able to find nothing to prove or disprove Wetzel's story.

NEWS BRIEFS: A man living in Franklin County, Alabama, claims that eight generators have burned out in his car during the past four years, while driving along a certain 20-mile strip of highway. He has never had generator trouble anywhere but on that particular part of the road. In addition, strange lights have been seen in the sky several times in the same area, a large burned-out hole appeared overnight in December 1957, causing an Army investigation, and an unexplained plane crash occurred a few years previous to that.Our best Fortean item for this issue concerns a 3-foot-long baby eel being studied in New Zealand by zoologists who believe it may be the offspring of a sea serpent as large as 30 feet or more. The eel was caught by fishermen in the Tasman Sea, between Australia and New Zealand. It has a snake-like head with bulging eyes and large sharp teeth, similar to museum reconstructions of prehistoric creatures.....Hundreds of residents of Riverdale, New York, caught fleeting glimpses of a saucer which passed rapidly in level flight over their town. Excellent sightings of the object were made at nearby Michell Air Force Base. Mrs. Arthur Nelson, one of the sighters, stated that the UFO was a bluish object which streaked from north to south over nearby rooftops. The level flight of the UFO rules out the possibility it was a meteor, as suggested by some meteorologists.....Three squad cars of police made a fruitless hour-long search on the night of December 28th for an unidentified object which four residents of Camden, N.J. said they had seen crash to earth in a city dump.... A Dover, N.J. inventor named Ulrich Meinhold, claims that two human-looking occupants of a saucer landed in his back yard, and divulged to him the secrets of the operation of their craft. He is now working on a 10-foot-diameter saucer, based on the information he received from the space men. The Earth-made saucer will use "sound wave energy", and will be ready for test flight soon.

ADVERTISEMENT

Subscriber C. W. Fitch of 6526 Carnegie Ave., Cleveland 3, Ohio, is short #4, 5, 6, and 7 of NEXUS. Please write directly to him if you have these for sale.